PTO/SB/05 (08-03)

Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0032 U.S. Patent and Trademark Office. U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

UTILITY PATENT APPLICATION **TRANSMITTAL**

Attorney Docket No. CL1375USCNT First Inventor Edmund Arthur Flexman Et. Al. TOUGHENED, HIGH-MODULUS POLYAMIDES AMENDED TITLE: TOUGHENED HIGH MODULUS MINERAL FILLED POLYOXYMETHYLENE POLYMERS

(Only for new nonprovisional applications under 37 CFR 1.53(b))

(Only for new nonprovisional applications under 37 CFR 1.53(b))	Express Mail Label No. EV 417442975 US	
APPLICATION ELEMENTS See MPEP chapter 600 concerning utility patent application contents.	ADDRESS TO: Mail Stop Patent Application Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria VA 22313-1450	
1. Fee Transmittal Form (e.g., PTO/SB/17) (Submit an original and a duplicate for fee processing) Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27. 3. Specification [Total Pages 21] (preferred arrangement set forth below) - Descriptive title of the invention - Cross Reference to Related Applications - Statement Regarding Fed sponsored R & D - Reference to sequence listing, a table, or a computer program listing appendix - Background of the Invention - Brief Summary of the Invention - Brief Summary of the Drawings (if filed) - Detailed Description - Claim(s) - Abstract of the Disclosure 4. Drawing(s) (35 U.S.C. 113) [Total Sheets] 5. Oath or Declaration [Total Sheets] a. Newly executed (original or copy) b. Copy from a prior application (37 CFR 1.63(d)) (for continuation/divisional with Box 18 completed) i. DELETION OF INVENTOR(S) Signed statement attached deleting inventor(s) name in the prior application, see 37 CFR 1.63(d)(2) and 1.33(b).	7. CD-ROM or CD-R in duplicate, large table or Computer Program (Appendix) 8. Nucleotide and/or Amino Acid Sequence Submission (if applicable, all necessary) a. Computer Readable Form (CRF) b. Specification Sequence Listing on: i. CD-ROM or CD-R (2 copies); or ii. Paper c. Statements verifying identity of above copies ACCOMPANYING APPLICATION PARTS 9. Assignment Papers (cover sheet & document(s)) 10. 37 CFR 3.73(b) Statement Power of (when there is an assignee) 11. English Translation Document (if applicable) 12. Information Disclosure Copies of IDS Statement (IDS)/PTO-1449 Citations 13. Preliminary Amendment 14. Return Receipt Postcard (MPEP 503) (Should be specifically itemized) 15. Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) (if foreign priority is claimed) 16. Nonpublication Request under 35 U.S.C. 122 (b)(2)(B)(i). Applicant must attach form PTO/SB/35	
6. Application Data Sheet. See 37 CFR 1.76	or its equivalent. 17. Other:	
18. If a CONTINUING APPLICATION, check appropriate box, and supply the requisite information below and in the first sentence of the specification following the title, or in an Application Data Sheet under 37 CFR 1.76: Continuation Divisional Continuation-in-part (CIP) Of prior application No.: O9/889,875, 07/20/2001 Prior application information: Examiner K. Wyrozebski Lee Art Unit: 1714 For CONTINUATION OF DIVISIONAL APPS only; The entire disclosure of the prior application, from which an oath or declaration is supplied under Box 5b, is considered a part of the disclosure of the accompanying continuation or divisional application and is hereby incorporated by reference. The incorporation can only be relied upon when a portion has been inadvertently omitted from the submitted application parts.		
19. CORRESPONDENCE ADDRESS		
Customer Number: 23906	OR Correspondence address below	
Name		
Address		
City	State Zip Code	
Country	elephone Fax	
Name (Print/Type) William H. Hamby/ Signature	Registration No. (Attorney/Agent) 31,521 Date 12 (5,70)4	

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.53(b). The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file and b

PTO/SB/17 (10-03)
Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0032
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

(302) 992-3230

Telephone

Date

31,521

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

E EEE TOANGMITTAL	Complete if Known
FEE TRANSMITTAL	Application Number Unknown
ਰੋਂ for FY 2004	Filing Date Unknown
Effective 10/01/2003. Patent fees are subject to annual revision.	First Named Inventor Edmund Arthur Flexman Et. Al.
Enective Toto 112003. Patenti lees are subject to annual revision.	Examiner Name Unknown
Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27	Art Unit Unknown
TOTAL AMOUNT OF PAYMENT (\$) 13700 いこ	Attorney Docket No. CL 1375 US CONT.
METHOD OF PAYMENT (check all that apply)	FEE CALCULATION (continued)
Check Credit card Money Other None 3. ADDITIONAL FEES	
Deposit Account:	
	code (\$) Code (\$) Fee Description Fee Paid
Number 10	051 130 2051 65 Surcharge - late filing fee or oath
Account E. I. du Pont de Nemours and Company	052 50 2052 25 Surcharge - late provisional filing fee or cover sheet
Name The Director is authorized to: (check all that apply)	053 130 1053 130 Non-English specification
	812 2,520 1812 2,520 For filing a request for ex parte reexamination
Charge any additional fee(s) or any underpayment of fee(s)	804 920* 1804 920* Requesting publication of SIR prior to Examiner action
_	805 1,840* 1805 1,840* Requesting publication of SIR after
to the above-identified deposit account.	Examiner action 251 110 2251 55 Extension for reply within first month
FEE CALCULATION	252 420 2252 210 Extension for reply within second month
1. BASIC FILING FEE	253 950 2253 475 Extension for reply within third month 530
Fee Fee Fee Fee Fee Description Fee Paid	254 1,480 2254 740 Extension for reply within fourth month
Code (\$) Code (\$) 1001 770 2001 385 Utility filing fee	255 2,010 2255 1,005 Extension for reply within fifth month
770.00	401 330 2401 165 Notice of Appeal
	402 330 2402 165 Filing a brief in support of an appeal
	403 290 2403 145 Request for oral hearing
	451 1,510 1451 1,510 Petition to institute a public use proceeding
SUBTOTAL (1) (\$) 770.00	452 110 2452 55 Petition to revive - unavoidable
14	453 1,330 2453 665 Petition to revive - unintentional
Fee IIOIII F	501 1,330 2501 665 Utility issue fee (or reissue)
Total Claims	502 480 2502 240 Design issue fee
Independent 300 86	503 640 2503 320 Plant issue fee
Multiple Dependent YFS 290.00	460 130 1460 130 Petitions to the Commissioner
Lacro Entitus Coull Entits	807 50 1807 50 Processing fee under 37 CFR 1.17(q)
Fee Fee Fee <u>Fee Description</u>	806 180 1806 180 Submission of Information Disclosure Stmt
	8021 40 Recording each patent assignment per property (times number of properties)
1202 18 2202 9 Claims in excess of 20 1201 86 2201 43 Independent claims in excess of 3	809 770 2809 385 Filing a submission after final rejection (37 CFR 1.129(a))
	(S7 CFR 1.129(a)) 810 770 2810 385 For each additional invention to be
1204 86 2204 43 ** Reissue independent claims	examined (37 CFR 1.129(b))
over original patent 1	1801 770 2801 385 Request for Continued Examination (RCE)
1200 10 2200 0 111111111111111111111111	1802 900 1802 900 Request for expedited examination * 2 mnth extiadesistersly paid
	* 2 mnth extolades respressive paid Other fee (specify)
I SURTOTAL (2) I(5) V.VV II	Reduced by Basic Filing Fee Paid SUBTOTAL (3) (\$) 0.00
CHAILTED BY	(Complete (if annicable))

WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038.

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.17 and 1.27. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Registration No.

(Attornev/Agent)

William H∠Hamby

Name (Print/Type)

Signature

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

IN THE APPLICATION OF:

EDMUND ARTHUR FLEXMAN ET. AL.

CASE NO.: CL1375USCNT

APPLICATION NO.: UNKNOWN

GROUP ART UNIT: UNKNOWN

FILED: CONCURRENTLY HEREWITH

EXAMINER: UNKNOWN

FOR: TOUGHENED, HIGH-MODULUS POLYAMIDES

AMENDED TITLE: TOUGHENED HIGH MODULUS MINERAL FILLED

POLYOXYMETHYLENE POLYMERS

PRELIMINARY COMMUNICATION

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Prior to examination of this application kindly consider the following remarks:

In related Application No 09/889,875 the Examiner issued an Advisory Action mailed February 19, 2004. Applicant would like to make the following observations regarding the points raised in the Advisory Action, and in the interest of further illustrating the patentability of the instant claims.

The Examiner has written that:

"The property studied by the prior art of ASHIM is izod impact of the composition and its tensile strength. In fact applicants own Examples (1&2, table 2) report having Izod Impact that lies squarely in the middle of those disclosed in saturated acids of ASHIMA. Therefor ASHIMA disloses the composition, even if in comparative examples. Present invention teaches izod impact of 1.3 while ASHIMA teaches 1.4, 1.8 and 1.6 in the reference examples 4-1 through 4-3 depicted by the applicants"

The Examiner compares Izod impact values of 1.4 in the present invention with values of 1.4, 1.8, and 1.6 in Aishima. However Applicant's Izod impact values are reported in units of ft-lb/in, while Aishima reports values in units of kg-cm/cm. The respective units are clearly indicated in the respective tables of the respective documents. It is apparent that such values are not directly comparable, as 1 ft-lb/in is about 0.184 kg-cm/cm. Thus, 1.8 kgcm/cm is about 0.33 ft-lb/in and 1.4 kg-cm/com is about 0.26 ft-lb/in. 1.3 ft-lb/in is therefore

Application No.:

Docket No.: CL1375USCNT Page 2

significantly larger than the Izod impact strengths disclosed by Aishima in reference examples 4-1 through 4-3.

Furthermore, the reference examples 4-1 through 4-3 of Aishima are **polyethylene** compositions containing **calcium carbonate** (see col. 8, line 62 - col. 9 line 2; col. 6, line 63 - col. 7, line 23 (and in particular line 10); and Table 1) while examples 1 and 2 in Table 2 of the present invention are **nylon 6** containing **titanium dioxide**. The examiner has stated that "ASHIMA disloses the composition, even if in comparative examples" when, in fact, Aishima has not done this. Applicant contends that there is no reason for one to expect polyethylene and nylon compositions to have comparable Izod impact strengths, and respectfully submits that comparisons between them are not appropriate.

The benefit of Applicant's invention is the improvement in stiffness and impact resistance provided by the addition of the claimed mineral fillers to nylon 6, nylon 66, or a mixture thereof in the presence of a <u>saturated</u> organic acid (or salt thereof) over compositions that do not contain the <u>saturated</u> organic acid. This improvement is amply shown in the examples. Aishima, on the other hand, discloses that certain improved mechanical properties can be obtained from compositions comprising a thermoplastic and an inorganic filler "having been prepared by effecting reaction between an inorganic material [...] and [...] at least one <u>unsaturated</u> aliphatic or aromatic carboxylic acid acid having from 3 to 11 carbon atoms" (abstract). Reference examples 4-1 and 4-2 of Aishima show that the use of <u>saturated</u> organic acids do not provide the same benefit.

The examiner has also written that:

"In addition to the above the prior art of Metzemacher teaches the equivalents of the unsaturated and saturated fatty acids in col. 2, lines 32-45), which would render even more obvious to utilized saturated fatty acid of Metzemacher in the composition of ASHIMA."

Metzemacher discloses "a surface-modfied filler composition [...] for flameproofing polymers" (col. 1, lines 6-7). Metzemacher does not teach that the filler composition improves the mechanical properties of polymers. It is respectfully submitted that one would have had no motivation to use the fatty acids disclosed in Metzemacher in the compositions of Aishima to provide a composition with improved mechanical properties, particularly since Aishima explicitly teaches that saturated acids do not work.

Application No.:

Docket No.: CL1375USCNT Page 3

Favorable consideration of the instant claims in view of the above remarks is respectfully solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

WILLIAM H. HAMBY

ATTORNEY FOR APPLICANTS

Registration No.: 31,521 Telephone: (302) 992-3230 Facsimile: (302) 992-3257

Dated: February 25, 2004

S:\Patent Documents\Eng. Polymers\CL-xx\CL-1375\U. S\CL1375 US CONT\PreliminaryCommunication.doc